

Published by Society for Advancement of Sciences®

J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 36, No. 1: 45-51, 2019 (An International Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry) Ms 36/01/50/2019 All rights reserved ISSN 2319-3077 (Online/Electronic) ISSN 0970-4973 (Print)



RESEARCH PAPER

K. K. Ruzimova http:// <u>www.sasjournals.com</u> http:// <u>www.jbcr.co.in</u> jbiolchemres@gmail.com

Accepted: 15/01/2019

Received: 09/11/2018 Revised: 14/01/2019

Bacterial Diversity in Nodules and Rhizosphere of a Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Grownin Saline and Non-Saline Soils

Kholida Kamiljanovna Ruzimova and Kakhramon Davranov Faculty of Biology, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

Chickpea is one of the most important food legumes, however it is very sensitive to soil salinity. Salinization impairs chickpea growth and influence on all its parameters. At the same time salinity decrease bacterial diversity in its nodules and rhizosphere. The aim of this research was to define the species of bacteria which dwell in chickpea nodulesand rhizosphere in salinity conditions and compare them to bacterial diversity in non-saline soils. The total soil and nodules DNA was isolated and 16S rRNA genes amplification was carried out. DGGE analysis allowed us to separate the PCR products to bands and bands were cut off, DNA purified, reamplified and identified after sequencing. The results showed that bacterial diversity in nodules and rhizosphere of chickpea grown in non-saline soil (EC-313 mSm⁻¹) is rich as compared to saline (EC-659 mSm⁻¹). 27 bacterial strains related to different species were isolated from samples of non-saline soils and only 13 from saline. Comparing the identified species to world literature data it is supposed that more of the strains could be potential PGPR. In spite of less number of bacteria dwelling chickpea nodules and rhizosphere these salt-tolerant bacteria are essential for chickpea survival in saline soil. Key words: Chickpea, Bacteria, Diversity, DGGE and Salinity.

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the main food legume cultures, and also an important source of protein in many countries, however as well as many other legumes, chickpea is very sensitive to soil salinization (Maasand Hoffman, 1977). The deleterious effects of salinity on plant growth are associated with water stress (low osmotic potential of soil solution); nutrient ion imbalance; salt stress (specific ion effects); and a combination of all these factors (Ashraf and Harris, 2004). All these factors cause adverse pleiotropic effects on plant growth and development at physiological, biochemical, molecular and whole plant levels. Salinity reduces plant height, leaf number, leaf size, stem and root dry weights, and seed emergence (Esechie et al., 2002; Welfare et al., 2002). The same factors affect microorganisms' activity and are the key point for natural selection of the most tolerant bacteria strains able to overcome these conditions (Borneman et al., 1996). There are some reports about single bacteria found in a chickpea nodules and rhizosphere, which can improve its growth in salinity conditions (Hamaoui et al., 2001; Mishra et al., 2010). However there is no data about full composition of bacterial community in a chickpea rhizosphere in salinization conditions in the world literature. The purpose of our research was study and comparison of bacterial community composition in nodules and rhizosphere of a chickpea growing in saline and non-saline soils for formation of a specific vision about influence of salinization on bacterial diversity in chickpea nodules and rhizosphere.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples collection and DNA extraction

Chickpea rhizosphere soil samples were collected in July. Soil and chickpea roots samples were taken from two different chickpea fields of Syrdarya region of Uzbekistan. One of the fields was with saline soil (EC-659 mSm⁻¹) and another with non-saline (EC-313 mSm⁻¹) (Table 1). According to Rhian et al. (2002), soils with EC (electrical conductivity) higher than 400 mSm⁻¹are considered as saline. We used 3 sources of samples which are the soil close to rhizosphere, rhizosphere and chickpea nodules. Plants with the soil close to roots were collected from five places of each field, first sample from the centre and 4 from the corners. Then each sample was treated as follows. The soil close to rhizosphere was scraped off from roots but without touching the roots surface, then mixed with same samples taken from one field and used for DNA extraction. To get rhizosphere samples the roots were gently shaken from stuck soil particles by hand and then were cut to 3 cm pieces. The pooled root pieces were added to 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flask, containing 250 ml of sterilized water and shaken for 30 min. The soil suspension was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min, and the precipitate was collected as rhizosphere soil and used for DNA extraction. To get third sample source – chickpea nodules were cut off from the roots, surface-sterilized using 70 % ethanol and repeatedly washed with sterile water. Sterile nodules were crushed with the sterile blade. Crushed nodules from roots of 5 plants of each field were mixed together and used for DNA extraction. Total soil and nodules genomic DNA was extracted using the Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and quality of the DNA were determined using a spectrophotometer Nano Drop.

Soil type	Depth	E	Organic	Total	Total	Ca⁺	Mg^+	K⁺	P	Cl	Na^+	рΗ
	(cm)	(mSm ⁻¹)	matter	С	Ν	(g/kg)	(g/kg)	(g/kg)	(g/kg)	(g/kg)	(g/kg)	
			(%)	(%)	(%)							
Saline	0-10	659	0,83	3.154	0,103	69.3	25.4	9.4	1.6	0.4	2.9	8.01
	10-20	623	0.69	2.506	0.091	63.5	20.7	7.2	1.2	0.3	2.1	7.83
	20-30	565	0.62	2.122	0.078	51.2	16.3	6.5	1.1	0.3	1.9	7.76
	30-40	492	0.51	1.535	0.062	46.8	14.5	5.7	1.0	0.1	0.7	7.54
Non saline	0-10	313	1.52	5.678	0.196	42.5	16.2	15.3	2.9	0.2	1.3	7.58
	10-20	274	1.44	5.103	0.181	34.9	12.7	12.8	2.7	0.1	0.7	7.47
	20-30	221	1.27	4.337	0.173	28.6	10.6	11.6	2.6	0.1	0.6	7.32
	30-40	143	1.12	3.565	0,159	23.7	9.5	10.4	2.4	0.1	0.6	7.27

16S rRNA analysis

The DNA extracted from each sample served as a template for bacterial 16S rRNA genes. 16S rDNA was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using universal forward 16SF: 5'-GAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' and reverse 16SR: 5'-GAAAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC-3' primers (Mohanta et al., 2015). PCR mixes with a volume of 25µl contained 5µl 5× buffer, 1 µl of 2% BSA, 0.5µl dNTP mixture (10 mM of each dNTP), 0.5 µl ofeach primer (10 µM), 0.125 µl OneTaq DNA Polymerase, 15.375µlmq water and 2µl of template DNA. The PCR program started from denaturation for 30 s at 94°C. Afterwards, 25 cycles with 17 s 94°C, 35 s 55°C and 90 s 68°C followed. Then elongation during 25 min at 68°C. The PCR products were verified by gel electrophoresis on 1.0 % agarose gel on TAE buffer.

DGGE analysis

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis was done by means of D Code system. Equal amounts of PCR products (6 μ l) were loaded onto 8% acrylamide gels with a denaturing gradient of 30-60% (where 100% denaturing is defined as 7 M urea and 40% form amide (Muyzer et al. 1993) for optimal separation of the PCR products. DGGE gels were run during 20 h at 65 V and 60 ^oC in 0.65 TAE buffer and colored for 30 min with SYBR Gold. Gels were visualized using the transilluminator. Bands were cut off from gel and stored for further processing. **Sequencing of the DGGE bands**

DGGE bands were prepared for sequencing according to Sanguinetti et al. (1994). 2 μ l of the extracted DNA solution were reamplified with the same primers as for 16S rRNA analysis. PCR amplicons were purified using Exo SAP-IT kit. Sequencing was performed by Sequence Laboratory by standard Sanger method. Sequences were aligned and compared with Gen Bank database using Blast N program (NCBI).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have studied the samples of soil close to rhizosphere, rhizosphere and nodules of chickpea growing in saline and non-saline soil. It was isolated the DNA and carried out the amplification of 16S rRNA genes from these samples. As a result of DGGE analysis and sequencing of DNA of the samples taken from non-saline field 27 bacteria strains of various species (table 2) are isolated and identified.

It is apparently from table 2, that the majority of isolated strains are presented by species of genuses Pseudomonas (7 strains) and Bacillus (7 strains), and also 2 species of Azotobacter, 2 species of Azospirillum, 3 species of Mesorhizobium and others. Thus some of isolated bacteria can be potential PGPR. So for example it is known, that many strains of Pseudomonas improve nodule formation at chickpea, and also enhance growth and development of chickpea by means of biocontrol (Sindhu and Dadarwal, 2001; Kumar et al., 2001), phosphate mobilizing properties (Rosas et al., 2006), and also phytohormones production (Joseph et al., 2007). Azotobacter strains could affect seed germination and seedling growth (Shaukat et al., 2006) in a plant by means of nitrogen fixation, IAA production (Joseph et al., 2007), siderophores production and phosphates solubilization (Husen, 2003). Members of the genus Azospirillum fix nitrogen under microaerophilic conditions and are frequently associated with root and rhizosphere of a largenumber of agriculturally important crops and cereals (Bashan et al., 2004). Bacillus is the most abundant genus in the rhizosphere, and the PGPR activity of some of these strains has been known for manyyears, resulting in a broad knowledge of the mechanisms involved (Probanza et al., 2002; Gutiérrez Mañero et al., 2003) like phosphate mobilization, siderophore and antifungal metabolite production resulting in biocontrol of phytorathogenic fungi (Chakraborty et al., 2006). Non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation has a great agronomic significance. Achromobacter and Artrobacter are related to non-symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Saxena and Tilak, 1998). It was reported that Arthrobacter ureafaciens was an active tricalcium phosphate solubilizer resulting in plants growth stimulation (Chen et al., 2006). Mesorhizobium ciceri and Mesorhizobium mediterraneum are two special nodulating symbionts of a chickpea, are known as good phosphate solubilizers (Rivas et al., 2006). It was reported that rhizosphere competent Mesorhizobiumloti MP6 produces hydrocyanic acid (HCN) under normal growth conditions and enhances the growth of Indian mustard (Brassica campestris) (Chandra et al., 2007). Rhizobial isolates belonging to genera *Rhizobium* sp. and *Mesorhizobium* sp. produces catecholate type of siderophores (Joshi et al., 2009). These properties are important for biocontrol of phytopathogenic fungi.

Such a wide diversity of various bacteria species can be explained by rich inhabitancy, i.e. a soil compound. Apparently from table 1, the soil of non-saline field is rich with humus, carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. These elements are essential both for plants growth, and for microorganisms' growth. Also the soil rich with microelements and absence of a salinization allows chickpea to develop actively, thus chickpea roots secrete considerable amount of exudates which also provide life activity of bacterial community in its nodules, rhizosphere and soil close to rhizosphere. Unlike these results from saline field samples only 13 strains of bacteria (Table 3) are secreted. All of them are identified by means of algorithm BLAST. Results show that in investigated samples bacteria of genus Pseudomonas (5 strains) and Bacillus (4 strains) are dominating.

The bacterial community in samples from saline soils was presented with salt-tolerant strains, some of which are capable to improve chickpea growth in salinization conditions. Thus according to Egamberdieva (Egamberdieva et al., 2013a) salt-tolerant strain Pseudomonas extremorientalis TSAU20 was able to stimulate growth of Silybum marianum under salt stress. It was reported that strain Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes isolated from rice field was able to solubilize phosphates, produce siderophore, indoleacetic acid (IAA) and gibberellin and utilize ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate) as sole nitrogen source (Jha and Subramanian, 2014). It was found out that Pseudomonas aeruginosa P66 actively produced HCN, P. aeruginosa P112 showed high IAA production, P. aeruginosa P12 and Bacillus subtilis B28 isolates were the most effective in reduction of chickpea fusarium wilt (Karimi et al, 2012). The results of Han et al. (2014) are indicate that soil inoculation with Bacillus subtilis GB03 promotes white clover growth under both non-saline and saline conditions by directly or indirectly regulating plant chlorophyll content, leaf osmotic potential, cell membrane integrity and ion accumulation. Akhtar et al. (2010) reported that lentil inoculation with B. pumilus together with P. alcaligenes caused a great increase in plant growth, number of pods, nodulation, and root colonization byrhizobacteria, and also reduced Fusarium wilt on lentil. Results of Qureshi et al. (2009) revealed that Mesorhizobium ciceri and Bacillus megaterium at coinoculation significantly increased the yield of chickpea as compared to control. Halotolerant Azospirillum halopraeferens was discovered in 1987 (Reinhold et al. 1987). It is known that these bacteria are nitrogen fixing and according to reports (Puente, 1999; Reinhold et al. 1987) associated with the roots of *Leptochloafusca* and black mangrove.

	1	of non-saline soil samples	S.	I
DGGE band No.	Source of strain isolation	Most closely related microorganism	Similarity (%)	Accession No. of related sequence
1	Soil close to rhizosphere	Pseudomonas fluorescens strain FW300-N2C3	99	NZ_CP012831.1
2	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas rhizosphaerae strain DSM 16299	98	NZ_CP009533.1
3	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas putida KT2440	99	NC_002947.3
4	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain PA23	99	NZ_CP008696.1
5	Soil close to rhizosphere	Pseudomonas syringae pv. Syringae B301D	98	NZ_CP005969.1
6	Soil close to rhizosphere	Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain F9676	99	NZ_CP012066.1
7	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas stutzeri CCUG 29243	98	NC_018028.1
8	Soil close to rhizosphere	Azotobacter chroococcum NCIMB 8003	100	NZ_CP010415.1
9	Soil close to rhizosphere	Azotobacter beijerinckii strain ICMP 8673	99	NR_042071.1
10	Soil close to rhizosphere	Azospirillum brasilense Sp 245	98	NC_016617.1
11	Soil close to rhizosphere	Azospirillum lipoferum 4B	99	NC_016622.1
12	Rhizosphere	Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579	100	NC_004722.1
13	Rhizosphere	Bacillus circulans strain RIT379 contig72	98	NZ_LDPH01000072.1
14	Soil close to rhizosphere	Bacillus lichen iformis ATCC 14580	99	NC_006270.3
15	Soil close to rhizosphere	Bacillus megateriumDSM319	99	NC_014103.1
16	Soil close to rhizosphere	Bacillus mycoides strain B38V scaffold76.1	99	NZ_JYFS01000099.1
17	Rhizosphere	Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022	100	NZ_CP011534.1
18	Soil close to rhizosphere	Bacillus pumilus strain 7P scaffold00001	99	NZ_JHUD02000001.1
19	Rhizosphere	Paenibacillus polymyxa strain KF-1 scaffold00032	97	NZ_LNZF01000032.1
20	Soil close to rhizosphere	Clostridium pasteurianum NRRL B- 598	98	NZ_CP011966.1
21	Rhizosphere	Arthrobacter globiformis NBRC 12137	99	NZ_BAEG00000000.1
22	Rhizosphere	Agrobacterium tumefaciens WRT31	99	NZ_CM002024.1
23	Nodules	Mesorhizobium ciceri biovar biserrulae WSM1271	100	NC_014923.1
24	Nodules	Mesorhizohium mediterraneum		NR_042483.1
25	Rhizosphere	Mesorhizobium loti MAFF303099	99	NC_002678.2
26	Rhizosphere	Achromobacter xylosoxidans A 8	99	NC_014640.1
27	Nodules	Ochrobactrum ciceri strain Ca-34	99	NR_115819.1

 Table 2. The results of sequence analysis of the dominant 16S rRNA gene amplicons isolated from total DNA of non-saline soil samples.

All these reports show the plant growth promoting and biocontrol properties of different bacteria that are the same species as ours. The results show that bacterial diversity in nodules and rhizosphere of chickpea grown in saline soils issharply restricted because of salinization conditions and low content of nutritive elements (N, P, K) and organic matter that confirm the report of Borneman (Borneman et al., 1996).

		DIVAUI Sallite Sull Sallipies.			
DGGE band No.	Source of strain isolation	Most closely related microorganism	Similarity (%)	Accession No. of related sequence	
1	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas extremorientalis strain KMM 3447	99	NR_025174	
2	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes CECT5344	99	NZ_HG916826.1	
3	Rhizosphere	Pseudomonas alcaligenes NBRC 14159	98	NZ_BATI01000076.1	
4	Soil close to rhizosphere	Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain F9676	97	NZ_CP012066.1	
5	Rhizosphere Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain PA23		99	NZ_CP008696.1	
6	Rhizosphere	Rhizosphere Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579		NC_004722.1	
7	Rhizosphere Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022		98	NZ_CP011534.1	
8	Soil close to rhizosphere	Bacillus megaterium DSM319	98	NC_014103.1	
9	Soil close toBacillus pumilus strain 7Prhizospherescaffold00001		99	NZ_JHUD02000001.1	
10	Nodules	Mesorhizobium ciceri biovar biserrulae WSM1271	100	NC_014923.1	
11	Soil close to rhizosphereSerratia marcescens subsp.marcescens Db11		97	NZ_HG326223.1	
12	Soil close to rhizosphere	3675		NZ_AUCF01000010.1	
13	Soil close toClostridium pasteurianum NRRLrhizosphereB-598		98	NZ_CP011966.1	

Table 3. The results of sequence analysis of the dominant 16S rRNA gene amplicons isolated from total
DNAof saline soil samples.

CONCLUSION

From the present study we can conclude that in non-saline soils with the high content of nutrients in a chickpea rhizosphere dwells a big number of various bacteria species, many of which can perform similar functions such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of phytohormones, siderophores etc. However in saline soil persist only not numerous salt-tolerant bacterial strains and they allow chickpea to overcome salt stress and grow in salinization conditions (Upadhyay et al., 2011, Egamberdieva et al., 2013b). In turn, the chickpea secreting root exudates, sustains bacterial activity. Thus, interactions of bacterial community with chickpea are more interdependent in the conditions of a salinization, than in not saline soils.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been financed by the Ministry of Innovational Development of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

REFERENCES

Akhtar, M.S., Shakeel, U. and Siddiqui, Z.A. (2010). Biocontrol of fusarium wilt by *Bacillus pumilus*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes*, and *Rhizobium* sp. on lentil. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 34:1-7.

Ashraf, M. and Harris, P.J.C. (2004). Potential biochemical indicators of salinity tolerance in plants. *Plant Science*, 166: 3-16.

- Bashan, Y., Holguin, G. and de-Bashan, L.E. (2004). Azospirillum-plant relationships: physiological, molecular, agricultural, and environmental advances (1997-2003). *Canadian Journal of Microbiololagy*, 50 (8): 521-577.
- Borneman, J., Skroch, P.W. and O'Sullivan, K.M. (1996). Molecular microbial diversity of an agriculturalsoil in Wisconsin. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 62(6): 1935-1943.
- Chakraborty, U., Chakraborty, B. and Basnet, M. (2006). Plant growth promotion and induction of resistance in *Camelliasinensis* by *Bacillus megaterium*. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 46(3): 186-195.
- **Chandra, S., Choure, K., Dubey, R.C. and Maheshwari, D.K. (2007).** Rhizosphere competent *Mesorhizobium loti* MP6 induces root haircurling, inhibits *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and enhances growth of Indian mustard (*Brassica campestris*). *Brazilian Journal ofMicrobiology*, 38 (1): 124-130.
- Chen, Y.P., Rekha, P.D., Arun, A.B., Shen, F.T., Lai, W.A. and Young, C.C. (2006). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil andtheir tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 34 (1): 33-41.
- Egamberdieva, D., Berg, G., Lindström, K. And Räsänen, L. (2013b). Alleviation of salt stress of symbiotic *Galega officinalis* L. (goat's rue) by co-inoculation of Rhizobium with root-colonizing Pseudomonas. *Plant and Soil*, 369 (1-2): 453-465.
- Egamberdieva, D., Jabborova, D. and Mamadalieva, N. (2013a). Salt-tolerant *Pseudomonas extremorientalis* Able to Stimulate Growth of *Silybum marianum* under Salt Stress. *Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Science and Biotechnology*, 7(1): 7-10.
- Esechie, H.A., Al-Saidi, A. and Al-Khanjari, S. (2002). Effect of sodium chloride salinity on seedling emergence in chickpea. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 188: 155-160.
- Gutiérrez Mañero, F.J., Probanza. A., Ramos, B., Colón Flores, J.J. and Lucas García, J.A. (2003). Ecology, Genetic Diversity and ScreeningStrategies of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR). *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 26 (5): 1101-1115.
- Hamaoui, B., Abbadi, J.M., Burdman, S., Rashid, A., Sarig, A. and Okon, Y. (2001). Effects of inoculation of *Azospirillum brasilense* on chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*) and faba bean (*Viciafaba*) under different growth conditions. *Agronomie*, 21: 553-560.
- Han, Q.Q., Lü, X.P., Bai, J.P., Qiao, Y., Paré, P.W., Wang, S.M., Zhang, J.L., Wu, Y.N., Pang, X.P., Xu, W.B. and Wang, Z.L. (2014). Beneficial soil bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (GB03) augments salt tolerance of white clover. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5: 525.
- **Husen, E. (2003).** Screening of soil bacteria for plant growth promotion activities *in vitro*. *Indonesian Journal of AgriculturalSciences*, 4(1): 27-31.
- Jha, Y. and Subramanian, R.B. (2014). Characterization of root-associated bacteria from paddy and its growthpromotion efficacy.3 *Biotech*, 4:325-330.
- Joseph, B., Patra, R.R. and Lawrence, R. (2007). Characterization of plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria associated with chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). *International Journal of Plant Production*, 1(2): 141-152.
- Joshi, F.R., Desai, D.K., Archana, G. and Desai, A.J. (2009). Enhanced survival and nodule occupancy of Pigeon pea nodulating*Rhizobium* sp. ST1 expressing *fegA* gene of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* 61A152. On Line Journal of Biological Sciences, 9(2): 40-51.
- Karimi, K., Amini, J., Harighi, B. and Bahramnejad, B. (2012). Evaluation of biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* spp. against Fusarium wilt of chickpea. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 6(4):695-703.
- Kumar, B.S.D., Berggren, I. and Mårtensson, A.M. (2001). Potential for improving pea production by coinoculation with fluorescentPseudomonas and Rhizobium. *Plant and Soil*, 229: 25-34.
- Maas, E.V. and Hoffman, G.J. (1977). Crop salt tolerance-current assessment. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division*, American Society of Civil Engineers, 103 (2): 115-134.
- Mishra, M., Kumar, U., Mishra, P.K. and Prakash, V. (2010). Efficiency of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for the enhancementof *Cicer arietinum* L. growth and germination under salinity. *Advances in Biological Research*, 4 (2): 92-96.
- Mohanta, M.K., Saha, A.K., Saleh, D.K.M.A., Islam, M.S., Mannan, K.S.B. and Fakruddin, M. (2015). Characterization of *Klebsiellagranulomatis* pathogenic to silkworm, *Bombyxmori* L.3 *Biotech*, 5 (4): 577-583.

- Muyzer, G., De Waal, E.C. and Uitterlinden, A.G. (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 59: 695-700.
- Probanza, A., Lucas García, J.A., Ruiz Palomino, M., Ramos, B. and Gutiérrez Mañero, F.J. (2002). Pinus pinea L seedling growth andbacterial rhizosphere structure after inoculation with PGPR Bacillus (B. licheniformis CECT 5106 and B. pumilus CECT 5105). Applied Soil Ecology, 20 (2): 75-84.
- Puente, M. (1999). Root-surface colonization of black mangrove seedlings by Azospirillum halopraeferens and Azospirillum brasilense in seawater. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 29 (3): 283-292.
- Qureshi, M.A., Shakir, M.A. Naveed, M. and Ahmad, M.J. (2009). Growth and yield response of chickpea to co-inoculation with Mesorhizobium ciceri and Bacillus megaterium. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 19 (4): 205-211.
- Reinhold, B., Hurek, T., Fendrik, I., Pot, B., Gillis, M., Kersters, K., Thielemans, S. and De Ley, J. (1987). Azospirillum halopraeferen ssp. nov., a nitrogen-fixing organism associated with roots of Kallar grass (Leptochloafusca) (L.) Kunth). International Journal of System Bacteriology, 37: 43-51.
- Rhian, J., Estephan, J. and Rashid, A. (2002). Analysis of plants and soils. Manual on laboratory analyses. 2 ed. Tashkent: Regional office of ICARDA across the Central Asia and Transcaucasia, 122 p.
- Rivas, R., Peix, A., Mateos, P.F., Trujillo, M.E., Martinez-Molina, E. and Velazqueze, E. (2006). Biodiversity of populations of phosphatesolubilizing rhizobia that nodulates chickpea in different spanish soils. Plant and Soil, 287(1-2): 23-33.
- Rosas, S.B., Andres, J.A., Rovera, M. and Correa, N.S. (2006). Phosphate-solubilizing Pseudomonas putida can influence therhizobia-legume symbiosis. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 38: 3502-3505.
- Sanguinetti, C.J., Dias Neto, E. and Simpson, A.J. (1994). Rapid silver staining and recovery of PCR products separated on polyacrylamide gels. *Biotechniques*, 17: 914-921.
- Saxena, A.K. and Tilak, K.V.B.R. (1998). Free-living nitrogen fixers: Its role in crop production. In:Microbes for Health, Wealth and Sustainable Environment, Edited by Verma AK, Malhotra Publ Co, New Delhi, 25-64.
- Shaukat, K., Affrasayab, S. and Hasnain, S. (2006). Growth responses of Helianthus annus to plant growth promoting rhizobacteriaused as a biofertilizer. Journal of Agricultural Research, 1(6): 573-581.
- Sindhu, S.S. and Dadarwal, K.R. (2001). Chitinolytic and cellulolytic Pseudomonas sp.antagonistic to fungalpathogens enhances nodulationby Mesorhizobium sp. Cicerin chickpea. Microbiology Research, 156(4): 353-358.
- Upadhyay, S.K., Singh, J.S. and Singh, D.P. (2011). Exopolysaccharide-producing plant growthpromotingrhizobacteria under salinity condition. Pedosphere, 21(2):214-222.
- Welfare, K., Yeo, A.R. and Flowers, T.J. (2002). Effects of salinity and ozone, individually and in combination, on the growth and ion contents of two chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) varieties. Environmental Pollution, 120: 397-403.

Title should be "Sugarcane in Asia-Climate Change and Drought".

Corresponding author: Dr. Kholida Kamiljanovna Ruzimova, Faculty of Biology, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Email: x.ruzimova@mail.ru